

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. , CONFIRMATION NO. 10/669,404 09/24/2003 UC0318 US NA 5035 Viacheslav A. Petrov 23906 7590 11/26/2004 EXAMINER E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY KEYS, ROSALYND ANN LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE 1621 WILMINGTON, DE 19805

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/669,404	PETROV, VIACHESLAV A.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	Rosalynd Keys	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nation.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status			
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1	
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3)	,		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
·	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		~
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •
Priority ı	under 35 H.S.C. & 119		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	•	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
* 0	application from the International E		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18) Paper No(s	)/Mail Date
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/s r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/29/04</u> .	SB/08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tradamark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/669,404 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of Claims

Claims 1-8 are pending.
 Claims 1-8 are rejected.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed January 29, 2004 has been considered.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$ -fluorinatedalkenyl should be changed to  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$ -fluorinated alkenyl; the term  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$ -fluorinatedoxyalkyl should be changed to  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$ -fluorinated oxyalkyl; and the term  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$ -fluorinatedoxyalkenyl should be changed to  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$ -fluorinated oxyalkenyl. Appropriate correction is required, i.e. put a space between fluorinated and alkenyl, between fluorinated and oxyalkyl and between fluorinated and oxyalkenyl.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  oxyalkyl in claim 1, on line 9 of page 11, and in claim 7, line 2 of

Application/Control Number: 10/669,404

Art Unit: 1621

page 13, is indefinite because on page 4 of the specification the term "oxyalkyl" is defined as an alkyl moiety in which at least one –CH<sub>2</sub>- unit has been replaced with an oxygen atom. However, in claims 1 and 8 the oxyalkyl can be selected from a C<sub>1</sub> oxyalkyl, which does not contain a –CH<sub>2</sub>- unit. Further, it appears as though the applicant wants to distinguish "oxyalkyl" from "alkoxy", since both are disclosed in the claims, however, the examiner does not see how the two differ. For instance, based upon the definition of "oxyalkyl" given on page 4 of the specification, if the oxylakyl is a C<sub>2</sub> oxyalkyl then the –CH<sub>2</sub>- unit of ethyl would be replaced by oxygen thus giving a methoxy group. The applicant is encouraged to give an example of an oxyalkyl group in order to give the examiner a better understanding of what applicant means term oxyalkyl.

The term  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  alkoxy  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  oxyalkyl in claim 1, on line 12 of page 11, and in claim 7, line 6 of page 13, is indefinite for the reasons given above for the term  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  oxyalkyl. in addition if the oxyalkyl group is a  $C_1$ - oxyalkyl group then is the  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  alkoxy  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  oxyalkyl group for example OCH<sub>3</sub>O. If so, then this is improper because carbon cannot have five bonds and oxygen cannot be monovalent. The OCH<sub>3</sub>O substituent does not follow the structural theory of organic chemistry, wherein carbon is defined as tetravalent and oxygen is divalent. The applicant is encouraged to give an example of a  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  alkoxy  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  oxyalkyl group in order to give the examiner a better understanding of what applicant means by the term  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  alkoxy  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  oxyalkyl. Further, if the  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  alkoxy  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  oxyalkyl should actually be  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  alkoxy and a  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  oxyalkyl then the applicants should place a comma after  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  alkoxy.

The claims are further indefinite because the claims define the oxyalkenyl and fluorinated alkenyl as containing 1-10 carbon atoms, however on page 4, lines 3-4 the applicants' define alkenyl as having from 2 to 10 carbon atoms.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamal et al. (Tetrahedron Letters, Vol 43, No. 41, August 2002, pp. 7353-7355).

Kamal et al. teach the synthesis of fluoroalkyl aryl ethers having the claimed Structural formula (see entire disclosure, in particular entry i and I in Table 1 on page 7354).

8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farbwerke Hoechst A. G. (GB 1230932).

Farbwerke Hoechst A. G. prepare the compound o-sec.-butyl-phenol- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\beta$ -tetrafluoroethyl ether (i.e., 1-(1-methylpropyl)-2-2(1,1,2,2,-tetrafluroethoxy) benzene, which has the claimed chemical structural formula (see example 9 on page 3 of the patent and the compound structure on the attached CAPLUS abstract).

9. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasushi et al. (Patent abstracts of Japan, publication number 06-293691).

Yasushi et al. disclose a compound having the claimed structure which is useful for synthesizing liquid crystal displays which are used as a display for electronic devices such as televisions, personal computers, word processors, etc. (see entire disclosure).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented

Application/Control Number: 10/669,404

Art Unit: 1621

and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farbwerke Hoechst A. G. (GB 1230932).

Farbwerke Hoechst A. G. disclose the compound o-sec.-butyl-phenol- $\alpha,\alpha,\beta,\beta$ -tetrafluoroethyl ether, which differs as a positional isomer of the compound p-

sec.-butyl-phenol- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\beta$ -tetrafluoroethyl ether as disclosed in claim 6. The o-sec.-butyl-phenol- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\beta$ -tetrafluoroethyl ether of Farbwerke Hoechst A. G. are useful for making dyes and plant-protective agents. One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found the claimed p-sec.-butyl-phenol- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\beta$ -tetrafluoroethyl ether obvious over the o-sec.-butyl-phenol- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\beta$ -tetrafluoroethyl ether as disclosed by Farbwerke Hoechst A. G. because compounds which are position isomers, i.e., compounds having the same radicals in physically different positions on the same nucleus, are generally of sufficiently close structural similarity that there is a presumed expectation that such compounds possess similar properties. *In re Wilder*, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977). Thus, the skilled artisan would have found it obvious to modify the ortho compound of Farbwerke Hoechst A. G. to obtain the claimed para compound in a search for new tetrafluoroethyl phenyl ethers useful for making dyes and plant-protective agents.

Art Unit: 1621

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosalynd Keys whose telephone number is 571-272-0639. The examiner can normally be reached on M, R and F 3:00-8:00 pm and T-W 5:30-10:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosalynd Keys Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

November 24, 2004